

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/594,685	06/16/2000	Kieran P. J. Murphy	8627/405 2321		
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			ROBERT, EDUARDO C		
P.O. Box 10395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60	0610		3732		
			3732		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/594,685	MIRPHY, KIERAN P. J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eduardo C. Robert	3732	

Advisory Action	09/594,685 MIRPHY, KIERAN P. J.		P. J.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eduardo C. Robert	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
			, 555			
HE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 February 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. ²		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		/III be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:						
		Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 3732				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claims 1, 17, 20, 21, 22, and 23 changes the scope of the claims and they would require further consideration. The scope is changed by changing what applicant considered to be "vertebroplasty components", e.g. in claim 21 all the components were "vertebroplasty components" and now some components are not vertebroplasty components when they were before. This, also introduces the question of new matter and it will require further consideration.